IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

)	
)	
,)	
) CIVIL ACT. NO. 2:17-cv-21-ECM	
) (WO))	

MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER

Now pending before the court is the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge recommending that judgment be entered in favor of the Defendants and against the Plaintiff. (Doc. 81). On December 30, 2019, the Plaintiff filed objections to the Recommendation. (Doc. 82). The Plaintiff also filed a motion to consolidate. (Doc. 83). The Court has carefully reviewed the record in this case, including the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, and the Plaintiff's objections.

In his objections, the Plaintiff simply objects to the Report and Recommendation without any specificity and without stating the bases for his objections. *See* Doc. 82. Although the Plaintiff refers the Court to a concurrent case, *Gladney v. Burks*, 2:18cv442-WKW (M.D. Ala.), he does not state with any particularity how that case has any relevance to the current action. Instead, the Plaintiff generally references the exhibits and facts of the concurrent case and asserts that they are essential to his claims in this case. This is insufficient to defeat a properly supported motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, upon an independent review of the file in this case, and for good cause, it is

ORDERED as follows that:

1. the Plaintiff's objections are OVERRULED;

2. the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED;

3. the Defendants' motion for summary judgment (docs. 30, 38, & 65) is

GRANTED;

4. judgment is GRANTED in favor of the Defendants;

5. this case be and is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice; and

6. no costs are taxed.

It is further

ORDERED that the Plaintiff's motion to consolidate (doc. 83) is DENIED.

A final judgment will be entered.

DONE this 8th day of January, 2020.

/s/ Emily C. Marks
EMILY C. MARKS
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE